Dentistry Section

Osteoporosis: Its Prosthodontic Considerations - A Review

VINOD BANDELA[1], BHARATHI MUNAGAPATI[2], RAJEEV K REDDY KARNATI[3], GIRIDHAR REDDY SIRUPA VENKATA[4], SIMHACHALAM REDDY NIDUDHUR[5]

ABSTRACT

Osteoporosis is a disease of bone which is common in middle aged post-menopausal women. The osteoporotic bones will become weak and are prone to fractures. Osteoporosis means "porous bone" is a "silent disease". Healthy bone microscopically appears like a honeycomb but, in osteoporotic patients the spaces are much bigger. The osteoporotic bone will have less density or mass and the structure of bone tissue is abnormal. As the bone becomes less dense, they become weaker and more likely to fracture. Women are four times more likely to develop osteoporosis than men. Oral health maintenance for adults with osteoporosis is important. Bone weakness and loss may also affect the ridges that hold dentures resulting in poor fitting dentures. The patients require new dentures more often than those who have strong, healthy bones. Best way to handle problems is avoid delaying or postponing the dental treatment. Regular dental visits and healthy lifestyle is necessary in strengthening and maintenance of good bone health. Well balanced diet with high amounts of vitamin-D & calcium with regular physical activity is recommended.

Keywords: Bone loss assessment, Bone mineral density, Porous bone, Post menopausal women, Silent disease

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is an insidious and progressive systemic bone disease characterized by low bone mass, micro architectural deterioration of osseous tissue resulting in back pain and stooped posture which leads to an increased risk of fractures. This disease has definite adverse effects on both tooth stability and residual alveolar crest preservation. As the depleted bone is more prone to the injurious impact of mechanical forces residual ridge resorption is more prevalent in these patients. So, the prosthodontic management of a patient with osteoporosis should be aimed at improving the prognosis by modification of the routine treatment plan with reduction of the forces which causes progressive bone resorption. Both males and females are affected during their life time but, is more prevalent in postmenopausal women. It is evident that half of all post menopausal women will have an osteoporosis related fracture [1].

Definition: Osteoporosis has been defined by WHO in 1994 as "a disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue leading to enlarged bone fragility and a consequent increase in fracture risk". It's a disorder where the bone mineral density is 2.5 standard deviation below the mean peak value in young adults [2,3].

Classification: Osteoporosis is classified as primary osteoporosis (having unknown cause) and secondary osteoporosis (having traceable etiology). Primary osteoporosis is further classified as Type – I Post-menopausal (between 50-70 years of age) and Type – II Age related (more than 70 years of age affecting both trabecular and cortical bone) [4]. Osteoporosis can also be classified as localized and generalized osteoporosis. The generalized can be primary or secondary osteoporosis [5].

Epidemiology: It occurs in about 1/3 of the western female population above the age of 65 years [6]. It is estimated that over 200 million people worldwide suffer from this disease [7]. A high prevalence of fragility fractures has been described in white population, especially in non-Hispanic Caucasians and lower rates have been found in black populations. In Europe, the Scandinavian countries have the highest prevalence of fragility fractures [8].

Clinical features: The chief clinical manifestations include vertebral and hip fractures, but can occur at any skeletal site. The clinical manifestations of spinal fractures include loss of height, increased scoliosis or kyphosis, significant back pain and limited range of motion. The dental manifestations includes: the cortex at the mandibular angle gets distinctly thinner and cannot be seen well at the anterior margin of ramus and in the maxilla it is minimal along the alveolar crest [9].

Risk factors: Various risk factors for osteoporosis are grossly categorized into modifiable and non-modifiable factors. Habits like smoking, sedentary life style, intestinal disorders which lead to inadequate absorption of Ca, P, deficiency of Vitamin-D and renal disorders can be modified to reduce the risk of osteoporosis. Whereas non-modifiable risk factors include age, gender, familial history, menopausal status & ethnicity [10-12].

Pathophysiology of osteoporosis: The literature defines two types of osteoporosis as either primary or secondary. Primary osteoporosis is said to be a disorder of unknown origin. It may occur with ageing and accelerates at menopause also known as senile osteoporosis. On the other hand, secondary osteoporosis is secondary to known causes which may include nutritional factors, life style or medical condition of a patient. Medical condition of the patient which may be associated with osteoporosis includes genetic mutations leading to hypogonadal state, endocrine disorders, hematological disorders like multiple myeloma, leukaemia, autoimmune disorders and parkinsonism.

In both primary and secondary type, the underlying mechanism is an imbalance in bone formation and resorption, which leads to development of inadequate peak bone mass, where the skeleton develops insufficient mass and strength during the growth. Inadequate new bone formation and excessive bone resorption leads to the development of fragile bone tissue.

Hormonal factors strongly determine rate of bone resorption. Estrogen deficiency leads to osteoporosis by: 1) increasing formation and decreasing apoptosis of osteoclasts; 2) by down regulating the synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines like interleukins 1&6, TNFα, prostaglandin E2 which leads to increased formation of pre-osteoclasts in bone marrow [13-15].

Dental screening of osteoporosis: Mandibular and maxillary radiographs are suggested in screening patients having osteoporosis for two reasons: Usually X-ray examination of chest has been a common procedure in medicine and the one, general public is familiar with. But when we compare, there is potential low radiation exposure for dental radiographs than the rest of the body and the prosthodontic implications of osteoporosis [16].

Bone density may be assessed by a prosthodontist using linear measurements (morphometric analysis) or by measuring optical density of bone (densitometric analysis), though it is undeniable that qualitative assessment of bone density by simple radiographs is affected by the degree of penetration of the X-rays used (e.g., higher energy X-rays make bone appear less dense). Morphometric analysis includes the Mental Index, which measures the mean width of the inferior cortex below the two mental foramina. Devlin and Horner suggested that such a measurement could be an accurate osteoporotic measure [17-19].

The Gonial Index measures the mean thickness of the inferior mandibular cortex at the angle of the mandible. The Antegonial Index given by Ledgerton in 1999 measured in the antegonial notch region. Bras suggested that a gonial cortical thickness of less than 1 mm was an indicator of osteoporosis [20]. The Panoramic Mandibular Index (PMI) given by Benson BW et al., in 1991 is the ratio of the thickness of mandibular cortex below the mental foramen, to the distance between the inferior border of mental foramen and the inferior mandibular cortex [18,21-23]. In Mandibular Cortical Thickness (MCT) measurement, a line is drawn on the panoramic radiograph through the middle of the mentalforamen and perpendicular to a tangent to the lower border of the mandible similar to PMI. Measurements of the lower border cortical thickness are made along this line on both sides and the mean is calculated [24]. Taguchi et al., suggested that the Mandibular Cortical Index (MCI) developed by Klemetti in 1994, was appropriate for screening [25,26] wherein the inferior cortical margin is examined with a loupe at 4X magnification and classified as follows [27]:

C1: The endosteal margin of the cortex is even/sharp on both the sides.

C2: Margin with semilunar defects (resorption cavities) on one or both the sides with cortical residues 1–3 layers thick.

C3: The endosteal margin consists entirely of thick cortical residues and is clearly porous.

Haster et al., [19] further classified MCI based on gender as:

C1: seen in men, C_2: seen frequently in men and C_3: seen only in females.

Halling stated that a negative finding on MCI washighly predictive of osteoporosis as confirmed by DEXA measurements [28]. However, Ledgerton et al., stated that such an analysis could not be sensitive because 30–40% of bone must be lost before becoming apparent on radiographs [22].

Densitometric analysis is carried out with the help of an optical densitometer, comparing the light passing through bone with a reference material "step wedge" of known density. The step wedge may consist of aluminium alloy, copper, nickel, calcium chloride, cesium chloride, ethanol or water arranged in eight increments from 0.02 to 0.06 inch thick, thus providing a range of optical densities [29]. Significant differences in densitometrically derived measurements between osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic patients have been noted, though these did not correlate with the mandibular Bone Mineral Density measurements (BMD) by DEXA (Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry) [24]. Ledgerton et al., stated that bone density measurements of the mandible using Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry, could be ideal for screening osteoporosis because of high correlation coefficient, greater sensitivity and specificity [22]. However, Klemetti pointed out that this measurement provided information about the basal rather

than alveolar portion of the mandible, therefore the results would be reflective of the impact of muscle attachments rather than that of osteoporosis [26]. Other researchers raised doubts about delineating the effects of osteoporosis from that of local diseases on mandibular BMD measurements. Knezovic Zlataric found difficulties in density assessment of the mandible, because of the problem of superimposition of contra-lateral sides and the possible presence of crowns and fixed partial dentures [27]. White and Rudolph therefore suggested jaw locations distant from the dentition, such as basal bone in the anterior region and alveolar bone distal to existing teeth in the posterior region [30].

Computer Assisted Densitometric Image Analysis (CADIA): It is a practical method to measure the change in bone density of alveolar crest. It is the comparison of two serial images that are acquired with standardized projection geometry and equalized for the density differences in the images, which gives depth of lesion in the buccolingual direction. Thus, it represents the volumetric description of the density change [31].

Oral bone loss assessment: The cortical part of mandible is more dependent on general bone loss than the trabecular portion or the remaining height of the alveolar process. The buccal cortex in the region distal to the mental foramen has been reported to correlate better with skeletal mineral density values than the lingual cortex. Oral signs of osteoporosis might be manifested by excessive alveolar ridge resorption, tooth loss, chronic destructive periodontal disease, referred maxillary sinus pain, or fracture. Resorption of alveolar bone is influenced by the severity of underlying periodontal disease and quality of the denture if the patient is a denture wearer. Dental panoramic radiographs are routinely used in the screening of dental diseases. The incidental findings detected on panoramic radiographs may be used to identify women who have no awareness of their low BMD. A number of mandibular cortical indices, including the mandibular cortical index (MCI) and panoramic mandibular index (PMI), have been developed to assess the quality of mandibular bone mass and to observe signs of resorption on panoramic radiographs for identification of osteopenia [32-35].

Dental considerations in osteoporosis: Some studies have experimentally concluded that in post menopausal women BMD is related to interproximal bone loss and pointed at osteopenia as a possible risk factor for periodontal disease. Women with low BMD & high calculus apposition had greater clinical gingival attachment loss than in women with normal BMD & similar calculus apposition. Serum estroidal supplementation reduces gingival inflammation and attachment loss which is the cause for early loss of teeth in early menopausal osteoporotic women [22,25]. Taguchi et al., suggested that the loss of posterior teeth may be with a decrease not only in alveolar bone height, but also alveolar BMD [36].

Residual ridge resorption in complete denture patients is a biological phenomenon which results as a decrease in biomechanical loading on bone which reduces the stresses within and on the periosteal surface of the bone leading to resorption. Literature review of last 15 years demonstrates the relationship between Residual Ridge Resorption and BMC. Hirai T et al., indicated that osteoporosis strongly affects reduction of the residual ridge in edentulous patients. Several other studies also concluded a significant mandibular ridge height and local or systemic bone loss [29,37].

Osteoporosis and Residual Ridge Resorption (RRR): RRR after tooth loss is a well described biological reaction. A decrease in biomechanical loading on bone reduces the stresses within the bone and results in resorption within the bone and its periosteal surface. The single case control study seems to indicate that the BMC status in the jaws is lower in patients with symptomatic osteoporosis than in healthy age and menopausal age-matched females and that osteoporosis may produce a risk factor for severe resorption of the maxillary residual ridge, while this relationship is not clear cut in the mandible [38-41].

Osteoporosis and implant supported overdentures: Overdentures supported by implants improve the masticatory force, and thus the loading on the mandibular bone compared to that of conventional full dentures. Hutton et al., performed a multinational and multicentre study involving 133 persons treated with implant supported overdentures in the mandible and/or maxilla [42]. The results indicate that persons with inferior bone quality (very thin cortical bone with low density cancellous bone of poor strength) and pronounced alveolar ridge resorption at the implant site show the highest risk of implant failure. Another study seems to indicate that low BMC status in the forearms may be a risk factor for implant failure after bone grafting in the maxilla. The above studies failed to demonstrate a relationship between the implant failure and age. The mandibular osteoporosis prior to implant treatment may present a risk for minor accentuation of peri-implant marginal bone loss but not implant failure within 5 years. So, considering the above studies the implant supported overdentures are the treatment of choice after total tooth loss because of their bone sparing effect and may also be recommended to persons with osteoporosis [43-46].

Prosthodontic management: Humphries et al., conducted a study on bone resorption of mandibular alveolar bone in elderly edentulous adults and they concluded that women above 50 years with osteoporosis required new dentures three times more frequently than women of same age. Reducing the stresses on the bone by modifying the treatment plan with specific precautions is considered in these patients [47,48]. Curtis et al., reported that largest amount of resorption has been shown to occur in the mid lateral aspects of the body of the mandible, while less resorption occurred anteriorly. It was also reported that the clinical height of the region distal to the mental foramen was more closely correlated with the general bone loss status than the anterior region [49].

While fabricating the removable dentures the main area of focus should be on reduction of the forces on residual ridge. Mucostatic or open mouth impression techniques, selective pressure impression technique, should be employed to reduce mechanical forces while impression making, semi anatomic or non anatomic teeth with narrow buccolingual width should be selected. Optimal use of soft liners, extended tissue intervals by keeping the dentures out of mouth for 10 hours a day can be advised. While fabricating fixed partial denture in periodontally compromised abutments it may accelerate the bone loss in osteoporotic patients. So, the fabrication of FPD should follow treatment of osteoporosis rather than preceding it.

Established systemic osteoporosis does not imply that a jaw bone is unsuitable for osseous integration nor is it an absolute contraindication to implant therapy. Dao et al., and Becker et al., in studying the association between pre-menopausal and post-menopausal women and implant failure, did not find a higher failure rate for implants placed in women older than 50 as compared with women younger than 50 or between women and men older than 50 [43,33]. Augat P et al., found more number of maxillary implant failures than mandibular implants in post menopausal women [32]. They found that postmenopausal women not taking hormone replacements had the highest failure rates. They reasoned that because osteoporosis affects trabecular bone more than cortical bone and the maxilla has more trabecular bone content than the mandible, the maxilla is more susceptible to the effects of systemic osteoporosis. During dental implant therapy, it may be wise to be cautious with maxillary implant treatment planning. Reduced bone density does effect the treatment planning surgical approach, length of healing, necessitates need of progressive bone loading and hydroxyapatite coating on implants. Daily calcium uptake should be up to 1500 mg/day pre and post surgically [50-54].

Clinical Relevance: Osteoporosis is a health condition that greatly affects the bones, weakens them and makes them capable of fracture easily. Besides hampering overall health and well being, osteoporosis also has a direct relationship on oral and dental health.

One should realize that the disease can hamper jawbones. It also triggers dental and oral health issues, including gum or periodontal diseases and loss of teeth.

The dental and oral effects of osteoporosis tend to affect more women than men. It should also be noted that even if someone has no teeth and does not wear dentures, the effects of osteoporosis can still affect dental and oral health. Bone weakness and loss may also affect the body ridges that hold dentures in the proper position, resulting in poor-fitting dentures. Studies also show that sufferers of the disease are at risk of requiring new dentures more often than those who have strong, healthy bones [53].

Osteoporosis has a major impact on the part of the jawbone supporting the teeth, which is most likely to cause tooth loss or mobility. Low bone density in the jaw triggered by osteoporosis can also lead to other dental issues. For instance, women suffering from osteoporosis are most likely to experience difficulties linked to ill-fitting or loose dentures. The results of various oral and dental surgical procedures are also less than desirable for these women [29-37].

Osteoporosis has been suggested as a risk factor in dental implant failure, but data supporting such a link are limited [33].

Klemetti et al., have reported that the habits and conditions that provoke development of general bone loss in the skeleton may disturb the functional harmony of the masticatory system and thus may increase the possibility of temporomandibular disorders [26].

Randomized clinical studies reported implant failure in patients with osteoporosis after menopause. Studies that contraindicate the use of implants in patients with osteoporosis infer that the impaired bone metabolism led to reduction of bone healing around the implants. Other authors believe that the presence of osteoporosis is not a definitive condition to contraindicate the therapy with dental implants. In osteoporotic patients, the dentist should perform a proper treatment planning, modifying the implant geometry, and use larger implant diameter and with surface treatment. Thus, osteoporosis is not a contraindication for implant surgery because an accurate analysis of bone quality by means tomography is performed [33,43,45,46].

The best way to handle this problem is to avoid delaying or postponing dental treatments. Regular dental visits are essential in correcting problems in oral and dental health caused by weak bones. A healthy lifestyle is necessary in strengthening and maintaining good bone health.

CONCLUSION

Osteoporosis is a debilitating disease with significant physical and psychological consequences. Quality of life can be significantly improved. A healthy diet, weight bearing exercises and medications can help preventing bone loss or strengthening already weak bones. Osteoporosis has potential prosthodontic implications with associated bone loss, tooth loss and TMJ pathology. Studies indicated a relationship as visible in panoramic radiographs, between osteoporosis and resorption of crest of residual ridge. The mandibular indices can be used as an early detection tool. The prosthodontist, by identifying the features would be at an advantage enabling to refer patient for bone density screenings for early diagnosis and subsequent treatment of disease.

REFERENCES

[1] Von Wowern N. General and oral aspects of osteoporosis: a review. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2001;5:71– 82.

[2] Eastell R. Treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;338:736-46.

[3] Eddy DM, Johnston CC, Cummings SR, Dawson-Hughes B, et al. Osteoporosis: Review of the evidence for prevention, diagnosis and treatment and cost-effectiveness analysis. Status report. *Osteoporosis Int*. 1998;4(Suppl):1-80.

[4] Singh SV, Tripathi A. An overview of osteoporosis for the practicing prosthodontist. *Gerodontology*. 2010;27:308-14.

[5] Narayanan V S, Ashok L. Osteoporosis: Dental Implication. *Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Med & Rad*. 2011;23(3):211-15.

[6] Duyff RL. The American Dietetic Association's Complete Food and Nutrition Guide. Chronimed publishing, 1998; 1st Ed; 107.

[7] Nutrition action health letter, centre for science in the public interest. 2005;32(3):5.

[8] Guise TA. Bone loss and fracture risk associated with cancer therapy. *Oncologist.* 2006;11(10):1121-31.

[9] Grampp S, Jergas M, Lang P, Genant HK. Quantitative assessment of osteoporosis: current and future status. Osteoporosis: diagnosis and treatment. Chap 8, *Sartoris DJ*. 2000:233-67.

[10] Jeffcoat MK. Osteoporosis: A possible modifying factor in oral bone loss. *Ann Periodontol*. 1998;3(1):312-21.

[11] Lindsay R, Cosman F. Osteoporosis, chapter 333 in Harrison's principle of internal medicine In: Kasper DL, Fauci AS, Longo DL et al. Mc Graw Hill, 16 Ed. 2005; 2:2268-78.

[12] Dawson-Hughes B, Heaney RP, Holick M, et al. National osteoporosis foundations update recommendations for calcium and vitamin D intake. 2008;16:713-16.

[13] Taguchi A, Ohtsuka M, Tsuda M, et al. Risk of vertebral osteoporosis in postmenopausal women with alterations of the mandible. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2007;36(3):143-48.

[14] Devlin H, Allen PD, Graham J, et al. Automated osteoporosis risk assessment by dentists: a new pathway to diagnosis. B*one*. 2007;40(4):835-42.

[15] Snider J. Researchers use dental radiographs to identify patients with osteoporosis. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2007;138(4):454.

[16] Abbott P. Are dental radiographs safe? A*ustralian Dent Journal*. 2000;45(3):208-13.

[17] Kaye EK. Bone health and oral health. J *Am Dent Asso*c. 2007;138(5):616-69.

[18] Dervis E. Oral implications of osteoporosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2005;100:349-56.

[19] Devlin H, Horner K. Mandibular radiomorphometric indices in the diagnosis of reduced skeletal bone mineral density. *Osteoporos Int*. 2002;13:373-78.

[20] Bras J, van Ooij CP, Abraham-Inpijn L, Kusen GJ, Wilmink JM. Radiographic interpretation of mandibular angular cortex: a diagnostic tool in metabolic and tooth loss. Part I normal state. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1991;71:349–56.

[21] Benson BW, Prihoda TJ, Glass BJ. Variations in adult cortical bone mass as measured by a panoramic mandibular index. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1991;71(3):349-56.

[22] Ledgerton D, Horner K, Devlin H. Osteoporosis research: a dental perspective. *Radiography*. 1997;3:265-77.

[23] Wical KE, Swoope CC. Studies in residual ridge resorption. Part I: use of panoramic radiographs for evaluation and classification of mandibular resorption. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1974;32:7–12.

[24] Seeman E. Osteoporosis in men. *Bailliere Clin Rheumatol*. 1997;11:613–29.

[25] Taguchi A, Tanimoto K, Suei Y, Wada T, Nadagawa H, Ohama K. Screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis by panoramic radiograph. *J JapSoc Bone Morph*. 1994;4:113-18.

[26] Klemetti E. A review of residual ridge resorption and bone density. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1996;75:512–14.

[27] Knezovic Zlataric D, Celebic A. Clinical bone densitometric evaluation of the mandible in removable denture wearers dependent on the morphology of the mandibular cortex. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2003;90:86–91.

[28] Halling A, Persson GR, Berglund J, Hohansson O, Renvert S. Comparison between the Klemetti index and heel DXA BMD measurements in the diagnosis of reduced skeletal bone mineral density in the elderly. *Osteoporos Int*. 2005;16:999–1003.

[29] Renner RP, Boucher LJ, Kaufman HW. Osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1984;52:581–89.

[30] White SC, Rudolph DJ. Alterations of the trabecular pattern of the jaws in patients with osteoporosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod.* 1999;88:628–35.

[31] Matteson SR, Deahl ST, Alder ME, Nummikoski PV. Advanced imaging methods. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 1996;7(4):346-95.

[32] Augat P, Fuerst T, Genant HK. Quantitative bone mineral assessment at the forearm: a review. *Osteoporos Int*. 1998;8:299-310.

[33] Becker W, Hujoel PP, Becker BE, Willingham H. Osteoporosis and implant failure: An exploratory case control study. *J Periodontol*. 2000;71:625-31.

[34] Eastell R. Assessment of bone density and bone loss. *Osteoporosis Int*. 1996;2(Suppl):S3-5.

[35] Sandor T, Felsenberg D, Brown E. Comments on the hypotheses underlying fracture risk assessment in osteoporosis as proposed by the WHO. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 1999;64:267-70.

[36] Taguchi A, Suei Y, Ohtsuka M, Otani K, Tanimoto K, Hollender LJ. Relationship between bone mineral density and tooth loss in elderly Japanese women. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol.* 1999;28(4):219-23.

[37] Hirai T, Ishijima T, Hashikawa Y, Yajima T. Osteoporosis and reduction of residual ridge in edentulous patients. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1993;69(1):49-56.

[38] Frost HM. Osteoporosis: A rationale for further definitions? *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1998;62:89-94.

[39] Habets LLMH, Bras J, Borgmeyer-Hoelen AMMJ. Mandibular atrophy and metabolic bone loss. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1998;17:208-11.

[40] Habets LLMH, Bras J, Merkesteyn von JPR. Mandibular atrophy and metabolic bone loss. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1998;17:325-29.

[41] Goldberg AF, Gergans GA, Mattson DE, Rudman D. Radiographic alveolar process/ mandibular height ratio as a predictor of osteoporosis. *Gerodontics*. 1988;4:229-31.

[42] Hutton JE, Heath MR, Chai JY, Harnett J, et al. Factors related to success and failure rates at 3 year follow-up in a multicentre study of overdentures supported by Branemark implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 1995;10:33-42.

[43] Dao TTT, Anderson JD, Zarb GA. Is osteoporosis a risk factor for osseointegration of dental implants? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 1993;8:137-44.

[44] Haraldson I, Jemt I, Stalblad Pa, Lekholm U. Oral function in subjects with overdentures supported by osseointegrated implants. *Scan J Dent Res*. 1988;96:235-42.

[45] Kondell PA, Nordenram A, Landt H. Titanium implants in the treatment of edentulousness: Influence of patient's age on prognosis. *Gerodontics*. 1988;4:280-4.

[46] Gaetti-Jardim EC, Santiago-Junior JF, Goiato MC, Pellizer EP, Magro-Filho O, Jardim Junior EGJ. Dental implants in patients with osteoporosis: a clinical reality? *Craniofac Surg*. 2011;22(3):1111-13.

[47] Humphries S, Devlin H, Worthington H. Aradiographic investigation into bone resorption ofmandibular alveolar bone in elderly edentulous adults. *J Dent*. 1989;17:94–96.

[48] Kawano F, Dootz ER, Koran A III, Craig RG. Comparison of bond strength of six soft denture linersto denture base resin. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1992;68:299–307.

[49] Curtis TA, Ware WH. Autogenous bone graft proceduresfor atrophic edentulous mandibles. *J Am DentAssoc*. 1977;38:366–79.

[50] de Melo L, Piattelli A, Lezzi G, d'Avila S, Zenobio EG, Shibli JA. Human histologic evaluation of a six-year-old threaded implant retrieved from a subject with osteoporosis. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2008;9:99–105.

[51] Shibli JA, Aguiar KCDS, Melo L, d'Avila S, Zeno ´bio EG, Faveri M, et al. Histological comparison between implants retrieved from patients with and without osteoporosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;37:321–7.

[52] Holahan CM, Koka S, Kennel KA, Weaver AL, Assad DA, Regennitter FJ, Kademani D. Effect ofosteoporotic status on the survival of titanium dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2008;23:905–10.

[53] Kribbs PJ, Smith DE, Chesnut CH. Oral findings in osteoporosis. Part II: Relationship between residual ridge and alveolar bone resorption and generalized skeletal osteopenia. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1983;50:719-24.

[54] Slagter KW, Raghoebar GM, Vissink A. Osteoporosis and Edentulous jaws. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2008;100(5):398-99.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:
1. Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital, Kurnool, India.
2. Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital, Kurnool, India.
3. Professor and HOD, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital, Kurnool, India.
4. Reader, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital, Kurnool, India.
5. Reader, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital, Kurnool, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Dr. Vinod Bandela,
Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, G. Pulla Reddy Dental College & Hospital,
GPR Nagar, Kurnool-518007, India.
E-mail: vinod.bandela@gmail.com